UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

Note to Reader January 15, 1998

Background: As part of its effort to involve the public in the implementation of the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA), which is designed to ensure that the United States continues to have the safest and most abundant food supply. EPA is undertaking an effort to open public dockets on the organophosphate pesticides. These dockets will make available to all interested parties documents that were developed as part of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's process for making reregistration eligibility decisions and tolerance reassessments consistent with FQPA. The dockets include preliminary health assessments and, where available, ecological risk assessments conducted by EPA, rebuttals or corrections to the risk assessments submitted by chemical registrants, and the Agency's response to the registrants' submissions.

The analyses contained in this docket are preliminary in nature and represent the information available to EPA at the time they were prepared. Additional information may have been submitted to EPA which has not yet been incorporated into these analyses, and registrants or others may be developing relevant information. It's common and appropriate that new information and analyses will be used to revise and refine the evaluations contained in these dockets to make them more comprehensive and realistic. The Agency cautions against premature conclusions based on these preliminary assessments and against any use of information contained in these documents out of their full context. Throughout this process, If unacceptable risks are identified, EPA will act to reduce or eliminate the risks.

There is a 60 day comment period in which the public and all interested parties are invited to submit comments on the information in this docket. Comments should directly relate to this organophosphate and to the information and issues available in the information docket. Once the comment period closes, EPA will review all comments and revise the risk assessments, as necessary.

These preliminary risk assessments represent an early stage in the process by which EPA is evaluating the regulatory requirements applicable to existing pesticides. Through this opportunity for notice and comment, the Agency hopes to advance the openness and scientific soundness underpinning its decisions. This process is designed to assure that America continues to enjoy the safest and most abundant food supply. Through implementation of EPA's tolerance reassessment program under the Food Quality Protection Act, the food supply will become even safer. Leading health experts recommend that all people eat a wide variety of foods, including at least five servings of fruits and vegetables a day.

Note: This sheet is provided to help the reader understand how refined and developed the pesticide file is as of the date prepared, what if any changes have occurred recently, and what new information, if any, is expected to be included in the analysis before decisions are made. It is not meant to be a summary of all current information regarding the chemical. Rather, the sheet provides some context to better understand the substantive material in the docket (RED chapters, registrant rebuttals, Agency responses to rebuttals, etc.) for this pesticide.

Further, in some cases, differences may be noted between the RED chapters and the Agency's comprehensive reports on the hazard identification information and safety factors for all organophosphates. In these cases, information in the comprehensive reports is the most current and will, barring the submission of more data that the Agency finds useful, be used in the risk assessments.

Jack E. Housenger, Acting Director

Special Review and Reregistration Division

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Tier 1: Screen for Drinking Water Assessment of Methidathion

PC Code: 100301; CAS# 950-37-8

TO: Karen Whitby

Branch Chief

Risk Characterization and Analysis Branch

Health Effects Division (7509C)

FROM: James C. Lin

Environmental Engineer

Environmental Risk Branch III Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

THRU: Henry P. Nelson, Jr.

Chemist

Environmental Risk Branch III

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

Daniel Rieder Branch Chief

Environmental Risk Branch III

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

DATE: December 17, 1997

The Environmental Fate and Effects Division has been requested to generate a Tier 1 Drinking Water Assessment for methidathion. This memo provides a Tier 1 drinking water assessment for methidathion. The degradates were not considered due to lack of environmental fate data. Currently, Tier 1 assessments use the EFED Screening Models: SCI-GROW and GENEEC.

The SCI-GROW (Screening Concentration in Ground Water) screening model developed in EFED is an empirical model based upon actual groundwater monitoring data collected for the registration of a number of pesticides that serves as benchmarks for the model. The current version of SCI-GROW appears to provide realistic estimates of pesticide concentrations in shallow, highly vulnerable groundwater (i.e., sites with sandy soils and depth to groundwater of 10 to 20 feet).

GENEEC is a screening model used in Tier 1 (generic high runoff site) to estimate pesticide concentrations found in surface water up to 56 days. It provides an upper-bound concentration

value. GENEEC is a single runoff event model, but accounts for spray drift from multiple applications. GENEEC represents a 10-hectare field immediately adjacent to a 1-hectare pond that is 2-meter deep with no outlet. The pond receives a pesticide load from spray drift for each application plus what runs off in one rainfall event, usually two days after the last application. The runoff event transports a maximum of 10% of the pesticide remaining in the top 2.5-cm of soil. This amount can be reduced through soil adsorption. The amount of pesticide remaining on the field in the top 2.5-cm of soil depends on the application rate, number of applications, interval between applications, incorporation depth, and degradation rate in the soil. Spray drift is determined by method of application (5% drift for aerial spray and airblast, 1% for ground spray).

Environmental Fate:

The environmental fate data for methidathion used in the screening assessment are summarized in Table 1 (EFED One-Liner Database 10/12/94).

When only one aerobic soil metabolism half-life value is available, EFED has historically multiplied this value by three to account for uncertainty and variability. Based on one supplemental aerobic soil metabolism study (162-1), the half-life was determined to be 3 days. By multiplying the half-life value by three, a resulting half-life of 9 days was used as the model input for both SCI-GROW and GENEEC. For adsorption concerns, a median KOC value was selected for both models.

Methidathion Use:

Supracide® 25% wettable powder is the only commercially available formulation for Methidathion. The major use information is listed in Table 2, which is based on the handout from 9/29/97 SMART meeting and the proposed labels submitted by Novartis on 11/03/97. Both the maximum application rates and the typical application rates are presented.

Drinking Water Assessment:

Using the fate properties listed in Table 1 and the use information presented in Table 2, SCI-GROW was used to estimate the drinking water concentrations from ground water and GENEEC was used to estimate the drinking water concentrations from surface water. Concentrations were estimated for both the maximum and typical application rates for each of nine crops upon which methidathion is used.

SCI-GROW Results:

Based on (1) an aerobic soil metabolism half-life input of 9 days, (2) a median soil organic carbon partition coefficient of 191 L/kg, and (3) total application rate per year, the SCI-GROW results are listed in Table 3. For the maximum application rates, the estimated concentrations range from 0.0365 ug/L to 0.3893 ug/L. Among the use pattern examined, the cotton use pattern has the

highest concentration. For the typical application rates, the range is from 0.0122 ug/L to 0.0487 ug/L. Citrus use pattern has the highest concentration.

GENEEC Results:

Based on (1) an aerobic soil metabolism half-life input of 9 days, (2) a median soil organic carbon partition coefficient of 191 L/kg, (3) a water solubility of 250 mg/L, (4) no aerobic aquatic metabolism half-life, (5) a water photolysis half-life at pH 7 of 11 days, (6) a hydrolysis half-life at pH 7 of 48 days, and (7) the application information (including rate, number of applications, and interval between applications), the GENEEC results are presented in Table 4. Based on the maximum application rates, safflower usage has the minimum peak concentration of 33.95 ug/L and walnuts usage has the maximum of 268.38 ug/L. The minimum and maximum 56-day concentrations are 21.58 and 170.55 ug/L for safflower and walnuts, respectively. For the typical application rates, the minimum and maximum peak concentrations are 14.79 and 59.17 ug/l, respectively for safflower and citrus. The minimum and maximum 56-day concentrations are 9.39 and 37.57 ug/L for safflower and citrus, respectively.

TABLE 1. Summary of Selected Environmental Fate Properties for Methidathion.

Property	Range (median)	Value used in assessment	Model
Solubility	250 mg/L	250 mg/L	GENEEC
Hydrolysis T _{1/2}	37 days @pH 5 48 days @ pH 7 13 days @ pH 9	48 days	GENEEC
Aquatic Photolysis $T_{1/2}$	11 days @ pH 7	11 days	GENEEC
Aerobic Soil Metabolism T _{1/2}	3 days	9 days	GENEEC SCI-GROW
Anaerobic Soil Metabolism T _{1/2}	10 days	not considered	
Aerobic Aquatic Metabolism T _{1/2}	no data	not considered	
Kads	2.48-14.83 (4.315)	see KOC	
KOC	113 - 338 (191)	191	GENEEC/SCI-GROW

Table 2. Methidathion Use Information Based on Supracide® 25 WP Label.

Maximum Application Rates for Supracide® 25WP:

Crop	Rate Per Application	# of Applications	Total Applied	Total AI
Almonds	12	1	12	3
Artichokes	4	8	32	8
Citrus	20	2	40	10
Cotton	4	16	64	16
Olives	12	1	12	3
Pome Fruits	12	1	12	3
Stone Fruits	12	1	12	3
Safflower	2	3	6	1.5
Walnuts	12	3	36	9

Typical Application Rates for Supracide® 25WP:

Crop	Rate Per Application	# of Applications	Total Applied	Total AI
Almonds	6	1	6	1.5
Artichokes	4	2	8	2
Citrus	8	1	8	2
Cotton	2	2	4	1
Olives	6	1	6	1.5
Pome Fruits	6	1	6	1.5
Stone Fruits	6	1	6	1.5
Safflower	2	1	2	0.5
Walnuts	6	1	6	1.5

Table 3. Tier 1 (SCI-GROW) Estimates of Ground Water Concentrations for Methidathion.

Maximum Application Rates for Supracide® 25WP:

Crop	Total AI Applied (lb)	SCI-GROW Conc. (ppb)
Almonds	3	0.0730
Artichokes	8	0.1947
Citrus	10	0.2433
Cotton	16	0.3893
Olives	3	0.0730
Pome Fruits	3	0.0730
Stone Fruits	3	0.0730
Safflower	1.5	0.0365
Walnuts	9	0.2190

Typical Application Rates for Supracide® 25WP:

Crop	Total AI Applied (lb)	SCI-GROW Conc. (ppb)
Almonds	1.5	0.0365
Artichokes	2	0.0487
Citrus	2	0.0487
Cotton	1	0.0243
Olives	1.5	0.0365
Pome Fruits	1.5	0.0365
Stone Fruits	1.5	0.0365
Safflower	0.5	0.0122
Walnuts	1.5	0.0365

Table 4. Tier 1 (GENEEC) Estimates of Surface Water Concentrations for Methidathion.

Maximum Application Rates for Supracide® 25WP:

Crop	Application Information	Peak GEEC (ppb)	Average 56 day GEEC
Almonds	1 @ 3 lb ai/ac	88.76	56.36
Artichokes	8 @ 1 lb ai/ac	59.46	37.87
Citrus	2 @ 5 lb ai/ac	182.79	116.22
Cotton	16 @ 1 lb ai/ac	124.77	79.50
Olives	1 @ 3 lb ai/ac	88.76	56.36
Pome Fruits	1 @ 3 lb ai/ac	88.76	56.36
Stone Fruits	1 @ 3 lb ai/ac	88.76	56.36
Safflower	3 @ 0.5 lb ai/ac	33.95	21.58
Walnuts	3 @ 3 lb ai/ac	268.38	170.55

Typical Application Rates for Supracide® 25WP:

Crop	Application Information	Peak GEEC (ppb)	Average 56 day GEEC
Almonds	1 @ 1.5 lb ai/ac	44.38	28.18
Artichokes	2 @ 1 lb ai/ac	47.09	29.94
Citrus	1 @ 2 lb ai/ac	59.17	37.57
Cotton	2 @ 0.5 lb ai/ac	29.05	18.46
Olives	1 @ 1.5 lb ai/ac	44.38	28.18
Pome Fruits	1 @ 1.5 lb ai/ac	44.38	28.18
Stone Fruits	1 @ 1.5 lb ai/ac	44.38	28.18
Safflower	1 @ 0.5 lb ai/ac	14.79	9.39
Walnuts	1 @ 1.5 lb ai/ac	44.38	28.18